

CALL-IN REQUEST FORM

This form must be completed, signed by at least two members of any Scrutiny Commission or Scrutiny Committee and returned to the Scrutiny Team within 3 working days of the decision being published (not including the day of publication)

Decision taker:	Cllr David Seaton	
Date of publication of decision:	17 th March 2010	
Decision Called in :	Disposal of Lady lodge Arts Centre	

	REAS	SONS FOR CALL-IN	Tick which reason applies
1.	Decis	sion contrary to the policy framework?	
2.	Decision contrary or not wholly consistent with the budget?		
3.		sion is Key but it has not been dealt with in accordance with the cil's Constitution.	
4.	Decision does not follow principles of good decision-making set out in Article 12 of the Council's Constitution.		Х
		son 4, please tick which specific element of Article 12 the dec yed, did he or she not:	ision maker has not
	(a)	Realistically consider all alternatives and, where reasonably possible, consider the views of the public.	
	(b)	Understand and keep to the legal requirements regulating their power to make decisions	
	(c)	Take account of all relevant matters, both in general and specific, and ignore any irrelevant matters.	
	(d)	Act for a proper purpose and in the interests of the public.	X
	(e)	Keep to the rules relating to local government finance.	
	(f)	Follow procedures correctly and be fair.	
	(g)	Make sure they are properly authorised to make the decisions.	
	(h)	Be responsible for their decisions and be prepared to give reasons for them.	
	(i)	Take appropriate professional advice from officers.	

Detailed Reason(s) for Call-in. Please explain below why one of the reasons for call-in applies (eg. For number 1 - which major policy affected and how/why)

Disposal of this site has not considered the bigger picture of this sale and the loss to the local community. When Lady Lodge (LL) was built back in the 70's it was built as a community asset by the Development Corporation.

Albeit this has been a derelict site for a while it does not change the specific land allocation at the time of build. For Orton to lose this provision, will be a further impact on community asset loss, the second in 2 yrs since the loss of Leighton Community Centre.

It was suggested this could be addressed through a S106 payment. This is not sufficient to suggest such as that would be a given from any development. The point here to be considered is that this is a loss not to be replaced and a deprived area is to suffer again. A contribution from the sale of this property needs to be made back to a current community facility to mitigate the impact Orton is to suffer again in lost provision.

	Name (please print)	Signature	Date
1.	Cllr Graham Murphy	G.c.Murphy	22 March 10
2.	Cllr Stephen Goldspink	S Goldspink	22 March 10
3.			

THIS PART OF THE CALL-IN REQUEST FORM IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE (OR HIS/HER REPRESENTATIVE)

Date form received:		22 March 2010		
Form processed by (name):		Louise Tyers		
Was Call-in request received within timescales?		YES	If No, reject and inform pa and date this box to show	
Is the request form signed at least 2 members of any Scrutiny Commission or Scrutiny Committee?		YES	If No, reject and inform pa and date this box to show	
	URGENCY			
1.	In the view of the decision-maker, nature, and if so, why?	was the de	cision made of an urgent or	special urgent
2.	In the view of the Chairman of the I under consideration sufficiently urg why?			
	e considered by which Scrutiny mission/Committee	Sustainable Growth Scrutiny Committee		
Date for Scrutiny Commission/Committee to consider request for call-in		31 March 2010		
Result of the Scrutiny Commission/Committee's considerations				
	cessary, which decision-maker is consider decision and by which ?	Decision-maker Date		Date
	ature and date of officer pleting Call-in request form	Signature		Date
		Name (plea	se print)	

This page is intentionally left blank